



Established 1928

The Anchor

"FREE ACCESS TO IDEAS AND FULL FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION"

To do nothing
is to be nothing.

Anon.

VOL. XII, No. 16

RHODE ISLAND COLLEGE

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY, 15, 1969

Student Senate Calls For Reading Day

Senate President James Macomber, on Monday, Jan. 13, called for a Reading Day to be held on Tuesday, Jan. 14. Previously, the RIC College Council had voted to suspend classes on Jan. second and third. An amendment to that motion read that those two days would have to be made up. The executive committee met with student representatives to work out a schedule for making up missed days. In an executive session with no students present, the committee decided to hold classes on Jan. thirteenth and fourteenth.

At the following Student Senate

meeting, it was voted that a boycott of classes should be held those two days. Due to the lack of proper notice, student response was poor. It was then decided that Reading Day should be held as per tradition, the day before exams.

Mr. Macomber stated that the change in schedule was due to the fact that, "The students should have acquiesced to the council's interpretation of the motion. However, I did not see the necessity of making up the two days. There was no need for the amendment which was a defense of an outmoded ideal."

Petition Stirs Controversy

Over 800 signatures and over 300 letters have thus far been obtained by the Campus Action Committee. In connection with this action, D. Scott Molloy has met with Mrs. Sapinsky who is the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of State Colleges. During an hour long conversation, the entire matter was discussed concluding that the matter of hiring and firing practices here at RIC will be brought up at the next Board meeting.

Contact has also been made with the local television and radio stations who picked up the story from

the *Providence Journal* article which contained an interview with Mr. Molloy. They have expressed interest in the story and wish to be kept up on the developments. United Press International phoned the *Anchor* office inquiring about the situation on Monday, Jan. 13. A statement was given by the Editor-in-Chief who described the Poretz story for them.

It is hoped by the committee that the controversy stirred up by the petition and the letters will bring about positive action by the Board of Trustees.

Procedural Analysis of The Poretz Situation

by BERNIE DELUDE

In view of the furor raised over the non-reappointment of Prof. Donald Poretz (N.B. Dr. Charles Willard's letter to Poretz, dated June 17, 1968, quoted in last week's issue of the *Anchor*) and the subsequent actions taken by the **Campus Action Committee**, the time seems ripe to take a look at tenure procedures at RIC in light of this particular incident.

The official record of such policy decisions as tenure are found in the **RIC Faculty Manual**. It also contains information regarding the procedural channels through which such decisions are made.

The language of the letter from Dr. Willard, then acting President, reads in part, "... it is my present intention not to recommend your (Poretz's) reappointment to the faculty of Rhode Island College after the 1968-69 academic year." As it stands, the letter indicates that Mr. Poretz would not be offered another term contract at this institution; there is no mention of tenure. "One-year contracts shall be given instructors (or persons of equivalent rank) for a maximum of four years. At the end of each contract year, the instructor will be eligible for a) reappointment to his rank, b) contract termination, or c) promotion." **Faculty Manual 3.3.3.**

The complication arises from a letter written by former Department Chairman of the Physical Education Dept., Dr. Fannie Melcer. Dated June 22, 1968 (six days after the Willard letter) it not only implies that he is eligible for tenure in her estimation, but recommends that he be granted it. Dr. Melcer, therefore, did not only wish to rehire Mr. Poretz, but rehire him on a continuous basis, i.e., his contract would not be re-considered annually as with a term contract. Were he granted tenure, Prof. Poretz, barring some unforeseen occurrence, would be in the employ of RIC for life.

As tenure is a lifetime contract, it is of momentous importance to both the instructor and the college. Ultimately, the decision to grant tenure will have a direct effect on the academic future of the department and hence the whole college.

This important decision lies primarily with the Department Chairman. "The Department Chairmen exercise general administrative responsibility within their departments. They supervise the development of programs and curriculums; they are responsible for continuous evaluation of instruction, chiefly to assure its improvement, but also as a basis for recommendation to the appropriate deans concerning promotions, dismissals, and merit increases." **Faculty Manual 2.3.1**

If an instructor is eligible for tenure, the department chairman must then decide whether or not he is the kind of instructor who would be an asset to the long-range future of the College and therefore grant him tenure.

If tenure is a form of promotion, it is assumed that the same criteria used to judge an instructor for promotion is also used for the tenure decision. Briefly, the three basic points are: a) teaching effectiveness, b) productive scholarship, professional improvement, committee work and community activity and finally, c) "... personal characteristics which promote the functioning of faculty-student, faculty-administration and intra-fac-

ulty relationships." (**Faculty Manual, 3.3.2**)

Apparently, Dr. Melcer made affirmative judgments about Mr. Poretz on these points in recommending him for tenure.

Having made a decision based on these criteria, the department then makes known his decision to the "appropriate Dean" (in the specific case of the Phy. Ed. Dept., it is Virginio L. Piucci, the Dean of Professional Studies) who "... is responsible for evaluation of the Professional Studies faculty and the recommendation of appointments, promotions, dismissals and salary increases to the Dean of the College."

The Dean of the College and Vice President for Academic Affairs is Dr. Willard. "He supervises the faculty personnel system of the College, cooperating with the appropriate Deans and Department Chairmen in preparing recommendations, promotions, leaves of absence, assignments and salaries of members of the faculty, and advises the President with regard to recommendations." (**Faculty Manual, 2.2.3**)

Thus it is the Dean of the College, as a member of the Administration vested with the power of hiring and firing, who acts as executor of the recommendation made by the Department Chairman and the "appropriate Dean." Although it places him in the unenviable position of being the "hatchet man" and incurring the dire wrath of the studentry when they do not agree with the recommendation he is acting on, the Dean of the College by definition, is doing his job.

The problem in the Poretz case is that not only do the recommendations of the Department Chairmen and the action by the Dean of the College disagree, but the notification of non-reappointment actually occurs six days before the recommendation for tenure.

Perhaps the solution is that the time difference between the two letters is irrelevant, caused by some unfortunate clerical error, and that if Dr. Melcer once

PURETZ Page 3

Students Should Not Be Allowed To Take Over

Coral Gables, Fla. — (I.P.) — Neither universities nor civil communities can afford to permit student power to take over, according to Dr. William R. Butler, Vice-President for Student Affairs and Professor of Education at the University of Miami.

Dr. Butler lists the following conclusions reached in dealing with campus protest:

1. Security plans should be developed by each institution for as many possible protest situations as can be anticipated.

2. Many universities will be required to resort to city and state police protection when rioting, violence and disruption occur. Unfortunately the press, radio, and television do tend to exaggerate campus problems and issues. Student will continue to take advantage of the mass communications media to promote their causes.

Many students normally quiet and uninvolved will "turn on" like tigers during a protest in the hope of somehow "making" the Huntley-Brinkley report.

3. Universities must update as quickly as possible their campus

rules and regulations, in association with legal counsel. Such matters as due process in disciplinary procedures, rules governing demonstrations, questions of search and seizure, revision of handbooks and the like should receive first priority.

4. Universities in the past have been both educational and custodial institutions. They have been expected by the community and some parents to be responsible for each student's personal conduct — specifically, conduct involving drinking and sex.

However, research shows that college drinking problems are really no different than from the drinking problems of the community at large and, generally speaking, the sexual behavior of the students is better than that of the community. Consequently, I feel that we need to move away from the provincial custodial role as rapidly as possible.

Finally, problems of the "new generation" will not be resolved by force, or by over-reacting faculty members or administrators. The new radical left should not be viewed as necessarily bringing

about the student leadership of the future.

"Yet," Dr. Butler concludes, "we must all learn to listen attentively to what our young people are telling us. We must listen carefully to their views, have regard for their feelings and do our best to understand their attitudes. We all know that we cannot control student behavior with rules and regulations alone.

"The real task is to direct student efforts in a meaningful, constructive and creative way. The idealism of our young people should not be deprecated, nor should energy be crushed. Their energy should certainly be directed toward social reform, but along pathways which are socially and politically acceptable.

"As educators we must channel the talents of students along lines compatible with the values stated by our founding fathers. This, then, is our challenge. Can we of the older generation be flexible, creative and adaptable enough to respond to the challenge of our youth who represent the 'new generation'?"

Coming to R. I. C.

Saturday, January 25 — Basketball at Livingstone College, Salisbury, N.C. — 8:00 P.M.

Monday, January 25 — Basketball at Western Carolina, Cullowhee, N. C. — 8:00 P.M.

Tuesday, January 28 — Basketball at No. Carolina College, Durham, N. C. — 8:00 P.M.

All games will be broadcast live from No. Carolina by WKLF-FM.

Wednesday, January 29 — Wrestling at Adelphi College, N. Y. — 7:00 P.M.

Thursday, January 30 — Wrestling at C. W. Post College, N. Y. — 7:00 P.M.

Undergraduate Registration

Friday, January 31 — Basketball vs. Gorham — Home Game

February 3 — Classes Resume.

EDITORIAL

Respect Equals Respect

The recent case concerning the dismissal of Mr. Puretz has become of major importance on this campus. In order to emphasize the case's implications in connection with other faculty members and the student body, a petition has been circulating which will be presented to the Board of Trustees. The petition asks that the evidence which supports a faculty member's dismissal be made public at the wish of said member. It also asks that the Board of Trustees look into the matter of hiring and firing practices. The petition and letters students have been asked to write will be delivered to the Board at their next meeting.

It is hoped that any action taken by the students involved such as the proposed picketing will do exactly what its stated purpose demands. It is supposed to be an aid to the Board in demonstrating the seriousness with which the students take the situation. As we are at last being listened to with interest and respect, we owe it to the Board, and students as well, not to destroy any chances we have to bring about an adequate solution to the problem. The *Anchor* supports these actions with the reservation that equal respect be given those administrators and Board members involved in the ensuing actions.

Letters to the Editor

Dear Editor:

In the Jan. 9, ANCHOR, President Dr. Kauffman suggested that Mr. Mossberg was guilty of "error and distortion."

In the same letter to the Editor Dr. Kauffman stated that "the Secretary of the Council had stayed up most of the night . . . trying to work out the various alternatives for making up the two days" (which had been added to the Christmas holidays).

One wonders if Mr. Mossberg was the only one guilty of "distortion," for surely if the esteemed Council Secretary needed to stay up "most of the night" he must be a slow worker indeed.

Donald Puretz

Ed. Notes This letter is in response to the article on Lysistrada.

Dear Editor,

In response to your recent comments concerning the "controversial" performance, I would like to offer a few "off the cuff" comments. First of all I would like to indicate my reaction to your remarks. To say the least, I was really burned-out. Ordinarily I am not one to infringe on another's

personal opinions as long as they are intelligently expressed and remain in the bounds of "personalism." Your comments are neither intelligent nor personal!

It is really too bad we cannot all exist in our own plush little thermos-bottle containers, where we can settle back in our corked reserve and contemplate ivory-tower principles that cannot be bruised by reality. You seem to have mistaken your value judgments on morality for some pristine metric table which you consider the objective determinant of "all values." Who made you the supreme arbiter?

You pose the question "What has hapened to the potential to produce the 'good' and the 'beautiful'?" Am I to understand that you really know what is "good" or "beautiful" for all men? I concede the fact that, apparently to you, the performance was repulsive — it was not "good" or "beautiful." O.K., you have made a subjective evaluation by correlating your own "principles" with something distasteful, resulting in a negative response. I can accept that and actually sympathize with your attempt to express an opin-

ion. But never try to dictate your principles objectively by looking through the myopic lenses of subjectivity. You mention the all-inclusive fact that "We don't want it." To whom can this "we" be ascribed?

If you discover that your tastes are to be satiated elsewhere, O.K., kop-out, but don't dictate your rigid moralism to me. Keep preaching where it belongs — in the pulpit. Try to understand that your subjective responses are not always so "pure" or "correct." If you find in your principles some sort of affirmation — fine — adhere to them, but don't overlap my principles by straying into my arable fields of subjective evaluation.

You had better slouch off your naive idealism before it smothers you. Uncork your reserve, face reality and the fact that there is no objective measure of the "good" or the "beautiful."

Remember that beauty is forever "in the eyes of the beholder."
Paul Picard '69

LET OFF STEAM!

Come to the Student Union Jan. 13 — 15, 9-12 and Jan. 19 — 21, 9-12. Faculty and students invited. Sponsored by the office of the Dean of Students.

CORRECTION

The *Anchor* would like to correct a misprint which occurred in the Jan. 9th issue. It was stated in the Senate Report that Senate had found that there was a 40% mark-up on certain books in the bookstore. This was not reported by Senate at any time. And, a committee has not been appointed to oversee the running of the bookstore, rather the idea was proposed and Senate President, James Macomber, is looking into the matter.

Also the name of the Senator who resigned was Mike Kenner.

BY BECKER



The Anchor

"An independent student voice." Published by the students of Rhode Island College. The editorial opinions expressed on this page are solely those approved by the editorial board of the ANCHOR and do not necessarily reflect the views of Rhode Island College or the Board of Trustees of State Colleges.

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Managing Editor Gary McShane	Jayne Rooney, Joe Sousa Associate Editor Alice Dibiane
News Editor David N. Blodgett Eric Beeley Rudy Herzog Ken Forestal Ed Nersesian	Features Editor Bernie Delude Bob Carey Thomas Gelluso Clifford Hoeglund Eugenie Hagberg Peter Sclafani Jeff Siwicki Lynne Boissel Eli Perlman
Photography Editor Al Tanner Greg Masone Bill Keach Bob Winchell Len Hardisty Norm Tobin Scott Molloy	Makeup Editor Ernest Campagnone Gail Kibbe Sunan Leach
Business and Advertising Manager Bill Bunch Staff Cartoonist Carl Becker	Circulation and Exchange Manager Richard Capaldo Don Gennari
Paulette Aiello Wendy Lindelist Chris Courtois Linda Bellino	Office Manager Geraldine Marafino Karen Shea Mary Parker Joan Laurence Michelle Lejeune Faculty Advisor Phillip C. Johnson
	Forum Editors Sheldon Mossberg D. Scott Molloy Doris Sippel Paul Brown Peter Skomoroch
	Caron Iannazzi Maureen Chamberlin Nancy Cerep Frances Geary

The ANCHOR, Publications Office, Third Floor, Student Union on the campus of Rhode Island College, Providence, R. I. 02908. Phone: 831-6600 Ext. 471.

Official College Notices

REGISTRATION

Anyone who would like to work on registration please come and see me at your convenience.

Lois L. Wartman
Registrar

PLACEMENT

January Graduates

Any January graduate who has not accepted a permanent position to date is urged to come to the Placement Office and screen the list of current job openings.

Summer Job Deadline

The U.S. Civil Service Commission has announced that applications will be accepted until **January 30, 1969**, for summer jobs to be filled in 1969. Persons who qualified in the 1968 summer examination do not need to take the test again unless they wish to improve their scores.

Additional information and application forms may be obtained at the Federal Job Information Center, Post Office Annex, Providence, or by calling 528-4447.

Recruitment Schedule*

Tuesday, Feb. 4	Providence Journal (reporters, copy editors, photographers)
Tuesday, Feb. 4	East Hartford Public Schools, Conn. (elementary secondary, remedial reading, psch. testing, etc.)
Thursday, Feb. 6	Smithfield Public Schools, R. I. (elementary and secondary)
Friday, Feb. 7	Bronxville Public Schools, N. Y. (elementary and secondary)

*To register for Placement and to sign up for an interview, please see Miss Paine in Room 114 of Roberts Hall.

Appointments for registration should be made two days prior to the recruitment date.

Nancy H. Paine
Placement Counselor




Photo by Al Tanner

Students sign petition to be sent to Board of Trustees.

Senate Report

BY LORI ROBITAILLE

Senate will again hold an opening meeting on February 12, 1969, from 2 to 4 p.m. It is hoped that students will take full advantage of this opportunity to ask questions or make suggestions to their governing body.

During the past week the Senators were informed that the Senate President, James Macomber, had vetoed the motion allocating \$650 to the College Choir. This, of course, was "after consultation with the treasurer of senate (absent at the particular meeting), and with much regret." The motion which would have over-riden the president's veto for a \$375 sum failed. Choir remains with no funds from the Student Senate.

An appeal was made once again by those who suffered damage in the P.C. raid for reimbursement. The matter was referred to the Finance Committee to investigate the possibility of payment.

Joe Souza, chairman of the Leadership Council, was recognized by the Senate. The Council has been formulating plans for a Conference weekend to be held at Camp Sargent, N. H., property of Boston University. This conference will approximately cost \$3800. Its purpose is to help train some of the future campus leaders. Representatives will be sent from all organizations and classes. Evidently, the Council has not advertised its purpose or plans to widely (although each group should have already received correspondence) or the Senate leaders have not been keeping up with the campus news! Several senators refused to see the merits of the conference and one spoke of it as a "mad tea party." If this were true it seems strange that so much effort has been spent

on this program. After much hassling, our leaders of today were "typified"; perhaps they would benefit from the Council's Conference! A roll call vote finally approved a \$500 allocation.

Returning to old business, the matter of the I.F.C. and their policy for fraternities and sororities wanting national affiliation was tabled for the second time. Lack of communication between the Senate and I.F.C. was given as an excuse.

A new constitution for the RIC Veterans' Association was presented for approval. This will be discussed at the next meeting.

The final order of the day concerned the results of a questionnaire on Student and College Characteristics through the cooperation of the Psychology Department. "The areas in the questionnaire include student reactions to academic, social and intellectual life, student-faculty interaction, rules and regulations, facilities, services, future plans of students and . . . student reactions to RIC." Mr. Bucci feels that this information will be useful and should be widespread. Students are asked to contact their senators to see the data.

STUDENTS:

Check RICSU
Information Desk
For Lost ID Cards.

Wrestlers Win One For Coach, Come Up With Five Pins

Coach Green, due to a personal tragedy in his family, was unable to coach physically at Saturday's victory over Lowell. But his presence in thought and his overall coaching was quite evident.

Captain Manny Vinhatierol led the charge with an 8-5 victory over Gerry Dragon of Lowell Tech in the 115-pound class.

Kenny Whorf took on Samuel Marcusa in the 123-pound class. After dominating the match for the first two periods, Kenny put his man's shoulders to the mat at 0:28 of the third period.

Bever Bayha won his match on a forfeit, as Lowell Coach John Grasso preferred not to send his inexperienced man against Bayha and set up an exhibition match for Dennis Cooney. Cooney pulled a reversal in the second period and nearly pinned his man. Bayha, in the same position, would have pinned considering he is heavier and stronger than Cooney. Cooney's decision victory came on some good defensive maneuvers.

At this point the team score was 13-0 in favor of Rhody and the prospects looked bad for Lowell. However, Bob Crowley at 137 and Bill Martin at 145 decided it was time to take action. Crowley pinned a courageous Ron Mondillo who took the mat with a broken shoulder. In the second period his shoulder was visibly hurting him. He was pinned at 0:58 of the third after a game effort to avoid it. Mondillo refractured his shoulder and should be out for the season.

Bill Martin took the lead from Crowley and put Steve Fults to the mat at 1:59 of the first period. Martin was but two points ahead

by JEFF SINICKI

With the 1968 film year officially concluded, a movie buff's fancy turns to thoughts of the 41st Academy Awards presentation, just three months away. Preliminary balloting for the choice of this year's (the one concluded) nominee is scheduled for the end of next month. With many of the most important pictures of the year playing locally or about to open within the next few weeks, I thought it might be interesting to try to forecast some of their chances in February and April.

My choices are based not so much on my personal views of what was outstanding; they have been made more on the basis of what Hollywood has been talking about, and with an eye to what has motivated Academy decisions in the past.

It would appear that the most likely nominees for Best Picture will be MGM's 2001: A SPACE ODYSSEY, Paramount's ROSEMARY'S BABY, MGM's SHOES OF THE FISHERMAN, Columbia's FUNNY GIRL, and embassy's THE LION IN WINTER. The final battle will probably be between LION and FUNNY GIRL, with the latter emerging as Best Picture of 1968. Though both have received enthusiastic responses from critics and audiences, FUNNY GIRL has going for it Streisand, fast on her way to becoming Queen of the Screen; William Wyler, for whom the film represents a comeback for the Oscar winning director of THE BEST YEARS OF OUR LIVES; and the fact that the sentimental musical biography

of Fanny Brice represents a type of glamour that Hollywood once flooded the screen with, but is now so rare that its welcome is far warmer than it might have been in the 30's, when this type was standard fare. (Other possibilities: ISADORA, RACHEL, RACHEL.)

Nominees for Direction: Stanley Kubrick (2001), Roman Polanski (ROSEMARY'S), Paul Newman (RACHEL, RACHEL), William Wyler (FUNNY GIRL), and Anthony Harvey (LION). Kubrick's project was impressive, a beautiful exercise in film technique, and Paul Newman's directorial debut was highly praised and won the British magazine "Films and Filming" award for best direction, but it appears that Mr. Kubrick's talent and Mr. Newman's praise and popular personality will most likely yield to Mr. Wyler. In addition to the fact that FUNNY GIRL will probably be named Best Picture (and in recent years, with Nichols as the exception, the Best Director is usually that of the named Best Picture), FUNNY GIRL is considered a great personal triumph for the popular director, and his winning seems highly probable.

The year 1968 was certainly not the year of the actor, and while several competent performances were given, there was no universally acknowledged "performance of the year." Nominations for Best Actor: Alan Arkin (THE HEART IS A LONELY HUNTER), Cliff Robertson (CHARLY), Tony Curtis (THE BOSTON STRANGLER), Peter O'Toole (LION), Frank Sinatra (THE DETEC-

TIVE). Other possibilities: Anthony Quinn (SHOES), Kirk Douglas (THE BROTHERHOOD). The competition should be between Mr. Arkin, Mr. Curtis (for whom STRANGLER represents an escape from those inane Universal comedies and a return to the type of roles which can showcase his talents as a dramatic actor), and Mr. Robertson, who will likely win not only on the merits of his reportedly moving performance as a mentally retarded adult, but because it will be felt that an Oscar would be ample reward for his many "unsung" performances in the past.

Very definitely, 1958 was the year of the actress, and the competition was severe. Julie Andrews has been mentioned as a likely contender for STAR!, and Vanessa Redgrave and Beryl Reid for their respective performances in ISADORA and THE KILLING OF SISTER GEORGE. But nominations will more likely go to: Mia Farrow (ROSEMARY'S), Joanne Woodward (RACHEL), Barbra Streisand (FUNNY GIRL), Patricia Neal (THE SUBJECT WAS ROSES), Katharine Hepburn (LION). At one time or another, each of these ladies was said to have given THE performance of the year, and each has her own special personal attractions to influence possible decisions.

Best Supporting Actor nominations: Gene Wilder (THE PRODUCERS), Daniel Massey (STAR!), John Gielgud (CHARGE OF THE LIGHT BRIGADE), James Fox (ISADORA), Chuck McCann (HEART). Daniel Massey, son of Raymond, has received universal praise for his portrayal of Noel Coward. His ultimate win is a certainty.

Best Supporting Actress: Shelley Winters (BUONA SERA, MRS. CAMPBELL or WILD IN THE STREETS), Ruth Gordon (ROSEMARY'S), Lee Grant (BUONA SERA), Coral Browne (KILLING), Jill Bennett (CHARGE). When speculation has dwindled down to Miss Gordon and Miss Browne, I think Miss Gordon will take it.

some over-riding reason, was reversed at one of the points in the power structure. If this is so, the action was justified from a procedural standpoint; however, whether the reason is "over-riding" or not, is another matter.

If the time difference is relevant, then we must assume that the notification of non-reappointment was prompted by information from other than the channels specified in the Faculty Manual. If this is true, then Mr. Puret's has at least procedural grounds to question his denial of reappointment.

At the risk of rendering the whole of the preceding discussion irrelevant, it the understanding of this reporter that Mr. Puret has, in fact, resigned from RIC. Therefore, whatever the outcome of the situation is, it can in no way affect him. The point is, I think, that students are upset when teachers whom they admire are dismissed and there is evidence of some "irregularity" in that dismissal. If there is an administrative decision which the students disagree with and have some valid reason to do so, then the reasons, provided the parties in question agree, should be made known to them.



Rambling with Uncle Eli

Apathy? At RIC? — NAI! It seems that there are certain groups of students on the campus who feel that RIC is the "Apathy Building Home of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations." This is false but the problem will exist unless the student planning groups do something about it.

If students are to be expected to be "interested" rather than "apathetic," some force, other than "apathy," must be present to allow the student to enter this favorable state of mind. Your Uncle Eli would like to suggest here that more Father Groppis, Theodore Bikels, Buffy St. Maries, Julian Bonds, etc., be brought on the RIC campus. You see, I do not feel that apathy exists to any great extent at RIC — disinterest maybe, but not apathy. Therefore, those who continue to use so much "jaw action" in the condemnation of other RIC students, take heed. It is not the audience that ruins the program, it is the program that ruins the audience. . . .

Keep America a nice place to live — leave the country. . . .

The only thing worse than being a bachelor is being a bachelor's son (and he should leave the country).

points, but one should note that John, usually 177, agreed to wrestle 191 when Captain Manny Vinhatierol asked him to wrestle out of his class.

Frank Lynch took the mat for the final match of the afternoon, the heavyweights. There was really no comparison between him and his opponent. Frank had full control of the mat and 41 seconds into the second period Frank pinned his man.

Now Coach Taylor can retire as an undefeated wrestling coach.

Puret's

(Continued from Page 1)

planned to recommend Mr. Puret for tenor, she changed her mind. In this case, Dr. Willard's letter, from a procedural standpoint, would be justified.

If the time difference is not significant, then another possibility might be that Dr. Melcer's affirmative recommendation for

The Boatman's Fee

is

a literary magazine distributed on college campuses in Rhode Island. It needs help. Send things to print and money to:

The BOATMAN'S FEE
Kingston Inn
1320 Kingston, Rd.
Kingston, R. I. 02881

Jon Badway came to the mat and amply out-wrestled, out-hustled, and outmaneuvered his man, as Jon was leading 11-3 when he put his man away with the pin that won the match for the Anchoemen.

Following this, John DiOrico took the mat in the 191 class against Lowell's Rob Melinski. John lost the match by only three

**INFORMAL
COFFEE HOUR**

No Admission Fee

JIM KEENAHAN

8:00 P.M.

Tonight - Tomorrow

R.I.C.S.U. Ballroom